REGEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 1 3 2006

(Substitute) PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number 09/539,096 Filing Date March 30, 2000 TRANSMITTAL First Named Inventor Joseph Fitzpatrick FORM Art Unit 3736 Examiner Name Charles Alan Marmor, II (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number D2025/20064 Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC Drawing(s) Fee Transmittel Form Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Licensing-related Papers Fee Attached Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Roply Brief) Petition Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a Proprietary Information Provisional Application After Final Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Change of Correspondence Address Affidavits/declaration(5) Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify Terminal Disclaimer below): Extension of Time Request Request for Refund Addendum to Remarks/Arguments of Express Abandonment Request Amendment dated April 5, 2006 CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD Remarks Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 Please charge Attorney Account No. 03-0075 as necessary to effect entry and/or ensure consideration of this submission. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Caesar, Rivise, Bernsteln, Cohen & Pokotilow, Ltd., Customer No. 03000 Signature Printed name Michael J. Cornelison Reg. No. 40,395 Date April 13, 2006 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: [Transmitted to Facsimile No. (571) 273-8300] Signature Date April 13, 2006 Michael J. Comelison Typed or printed name

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Petert and arroant of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Petert and Tradamark Office, U.S. Department of Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Ø 002

APR 1.3 2008

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE PATENT EXAMINING OPERATION

First Named Inventor: FITZPATRICK, JOSEPH

Serial No: 09/539,096 Group Art Unit: 3736

Filed: March 30, 2000 Examiner: Charles Alan Marmor, II

Att. Docket No.: D2025/20064 Confirmation No.: 7874

For: BODY FUNCTION MEASURING APPARATUS

LETTER - ADDENDUM TO REMARKS/ARGUMENTS OF AMENDMENT

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Due to an editorial error, the remarks section of the April 5, 2006 Amendment is missing the following paragraph which summarizes remarks set forth in response to the prior art rejections of the October 5, 2005 Office Action. Accordingly, please consider the paragraph as attached after the first paragraph on Page 21 of the Amendment.

In summary, neither Koch nor Stillman provide an enabling disclosure of detecting a difference between a rate of change of a first temperature signal and separately a rate of change of a second temperature signal, as recited in the independent claims of the application. While both Stillman and Koch may have a means to investigate the difference between two sensors, neither of the references realize the advantages for developing a method to investigate potential aspects of the rate of change signal for each sensor. There are no enabling disclosures in Koch or Stillman that show the rate of change relative to each unique actual sensed temperature. Moreover, there is no teaching or disclosure in Stillman of a second indicator connected to both the spaced apart temperature sensors that are adjacent the same area of skin, and producing an

Application No. 09/539,096 Addendum To Remarks/Arguments of Amendment April 12, 2006

indication that the displayed temperature from the first indicator is in error, as recited in independent Claim 17. As noted above, Stillman also does not disclose a circuit that activates the indicator in response to the rate of change of the first signal and the rate of change of the second signal, as recited in Claim 21. Accordingly, neither reference discloses the subject matter of the pending claims, which is why withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN, COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD.

April 13, 2006

Please charge or credit our Account No. 03-0075 as necessary to effect entry and/or ensure consideration of this submission.

ЗУ.

Michael Y. Cornelison Registration No. 40,395 Customer No. 03000 (215) 567-2010 Attorneys for Applicants